1 2	DENNIS F. MORIARTY (BAR NO. 37612) STEPHEN L. DAHM (BAR NO. 114745) CESARI, WERNER AND MORIARTY 360 Post Street, Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94108-4908 Telephone: (415) 391-1113 Facsimile: (415) 391-4626 5055-3-10-15 Attorneys for Defendant,	
3		
4		
5		
6	CHRISŤINA SANCHEŹ	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SECOND IMAGE, INC., a California	Case No. C 07 5242 PJH
12	corporation	DECLARATION OF STEPHEN L. DAHM
13	Plaintiff,	IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
14	Vs.	Dept.: 3, Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
15	RONSIN PHOTOCOPY, INC., a California Corporation; CHRISTINA SANCHEZ, an	Dept.: 3, Hon. I hyms J. Hammeon
16	individual; and DOES 1-10	
17	Defendants.	
18	AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIM	
19		
20	I, Stephen L. Dahm, declare:	
21	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court, and I am attorney of	
22	record for defendant Christina Sanchez ("Sanchez" or "defendant Sanchez").	
23	2. Defendant Sanchez requests relief from the Court's Order Setting Initial Case	
24	Management Conference because the parties have not yet been able to meet and confer as	
25	required by Fed. R.Civ. P. 26(f) with respect to those subjects set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P.	
26	16(c) to prepare a Joint Case Management Statement addressing each of the items listed in	
27	the "Standing Order For All Judges of the Northern District—Contents of Joint Case	
28	Management Statement." The parties have not yet been able to meet and confer on these	

subjects because defendant Second Image, Inc. objected to the Court's jurisdiction, and the Court sustained Second Image's objection to the extent that the Court ordered plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint. The First Amended Complaint was filed on December 28, 2007, and defendants have until January 17, 2008 to file responsive pleadings. Defendant Second Image, Inc. takes the position that it is not yet subject to the Court's jurisdiction and therefore cannot properly meet and confer as required by Rule 26(f).

- 3. On January 4 and January 7, 2008, via e-mail correspondence among the parties, defendants Second Image, Inc. and Christina Sanchez attempted to obtain a stipulation from plaintiff to continue the date for the Initial Case Management Conference, but plaintiff has not agreed to so stipulate.
- 4. If the Initial Case Management Conference is not continued, defendant Sanchez will suffer substantial prejudice because she will not have the benefit of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) disclosures prior to the Initial Case Management Conference with respect to those subjects set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c).
  - 5. To my knowledge there have been no previous time modifications in this case.
- 6. The effect of this requested time modification on the schedule for the case will be to extend, by approximately six weeks, the time for initial disclosures, case management, discovery, dispositive motions and trial. However, if the requested time modification is granted, I believe the case will proceed in a more orderly fashion, and judicial economy will be served.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. If called as a witness I could testify competently thereto.

Executed this  $8^{\text{th}}$  day of January, at San Francisco, California.

/S/ STEPHEN L. DAHM